Impact of a pedometer-based physical activity challenge on behavioral, biomedical, anthropometric and psychological outcomes in hospital employees: An interventional study
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Abstract

Background Health promotion programmes often incorporate the use of a pedometer to increase physical activity (PA). Although many studies have examined the influence of the pedometer on PA at the workplace, very few have directly targeted hospital employees and the anthropometric, biomedical, psychological, and behavioral benefits they could experience following a pedometer-based PA intervention. The aim of the present study was to examine the anthropometric, biomedical, psychological and behavioral benefits associated with the use of a pedometer in a PA challenge with a wide range of hospital employees.

Methods A total of 310 employees of a university-affiliated multi-site healthcare centre in Canada, from 24 to 70 years of age and of different types of jobs and work schedules, were voluntarily enrolled in an eight-week pedometer-based PA programme aimed at increasing PA as well as improving fruit and vegetable consumption. Data were collected at baseline and after the eight-week challenge using clinical measurements and questionnaires that were classified into five categories: socio-demographic, anthropometric, biomedical, psychological, and behavioral. Paired sample t-tests were conducted on the baseline and post-programme data to detect significant differences between the measurement points. Further analyses, including univariate analyses of covariance, were conducted on the post-programme scores to detect significant differences in the study variables between pedometer-determined PA groups.

Results Behavioral, biomedical, anthropometric and psychological benefits were associated with the PA intervention. Hospital employees also exhibited significant changes in anthropometric measures, such as lower weight and body mass index which is in line with previous studies. The present intervention also led to an increase in moderate PA and to a decrease in time spent sitting, to improvements in biomedical and psychological outcomes.

Conclusion The results highlight that the use of a pedometer is associated with significant increases in PA and significant decreases in weight, BMI, blood pressure and cholesterol as well as in stress, fatigue and sleep problems. This underscores the importance of such interventions in the hospital setting.
improved efficiency and productivity, increased job satisfaction, more staff interaction, and better workplace morale (4-6).

Health promotion programmes often incorporate the use of a pedometer to increase PA. The pedometer, or step counter, is a small, light, electronic device that is generally clipped to an individual’s clothing at the hip. It is a measurement tool which estimates distance travelled by foot by recording the number of steps taken. Pedometers are ideal for health promotion interventions because they are inexpensive, costing US$20 to US$35 apiece. As pedometers provide an approximate calculation of distance travelled by an individual, they are a simple and efficient way to measure PA. Health promotion programmes often set a goal of 10,000 daily steps to encourage increased PA (6). Pedometer programmes are often combined with motivational reminders, such as internet-based motivational messages, motivational interviewing or challenges to encourage a successful increase in PA (6,7).

The aim of the present study was to examine the benefits associated with the use of a pedometer in a PA challenge with hospital employees from all job categories. It was hypothesised that hospital employees would experience anthropometric, biomedical, psychological and behavioral benefits following the intervention and that taking all these outcomes into account simultaneously would help to better justify resource allocation for similar preventive intervention programmes for employees in the healthcare setting.

Method

Study Design
A pre-test and post-test study design was used to examine changes in behavioral, biomedical, anthropometric and psychological outcome questionnaires (8-14).

Recruitment
Participants were recruited in a university-affiliated multi-site healthcare centre in Canada comprised of six hospitals and one administrative site with over 10,000 employees. Recruitment took place at each site in areas frequented by most employees—such as the main entrance or close to the cafeteria in order to recruit staff with different types of jobs and work schedules. Interested employees booked an appointment for a pre-screening evaluation. Inclusion criteria included being a hospital employee and successfully completing the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q). Individuals who answered yes to any question on the PAR-Q were further screened by a health professional. Candidates who were pregnant, had a cardiovascular disease, or a serious orthopaedic problem that could be made worse with exercise, needed clearance from a physician.

Intervention
The “Wellness Challenge” intervention comprised a one-hour on-site lunch lecture, 30-minute one-on-one pre- and post-evaluations during work hours and the eight-week pedometer activity challenge (September 19 to November 13, 2011). The activity challenge involved tracking step counts, step equivalents of other physical activities, as well as tracking fruit and vegetable consumption on a website. The lunch-hour lecture provided information on PA and nutrition as well as instructions on proper pedometer use. A goal of 10,000 steps and a goal of being the first site to cross Canada virtually as a group was used as motivators. The website allowed participants to see their progress as individuals, as a team and as an entire group (measurement of how many times they walked around the world). The website developed by the CHIP (Complete Health Improvement Program) team (comprised of trained and licensed facilitators) also provided health risk assessments and educational modules on various health factors—including cardiovascular disease, blood pressure, cholesterol, smoking, sleep, stress, depression, exercise, weight loss, and nutrition. Participants were invited to register with partners or as small groups, with colleagues from their department, to enhance social support. All eligible participants received a pedometer at the lecture and a code to access the programme website.

Assessments
Data were collected at baseline and after the eight-week challenge using questionnaires completed by participants (by mail and in person) as well as clinical measurements collected by trained staff during the pre- and post-screening evaluations at the workplace. Clinical measurements and questionnaires were classified into five categories (15): socio-demographic, anthropometric, biomedical, psychological and behavioral.

Socio-demographic
Date of birth, gender, smoking status, presence of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and diabetes, family history of CVD and diabetes, and current medications were collected at the pre- and post-screening evaluations

Anthropometric
Trained staff measured weight, waist circumference and height at baseline and at the end of the programme.
Biomedical
Blood pressure was measured by trained staff at both the pre- and post-screening. Two blood-pressure measurements were taken using an automatic blood-pressure monitor (Lifesource UA – 767) after five minutes of quietly sitting and the lower of the two blood-pressure values was used. Participants were given blood-test requisition forms six weeks prior to the start of the challenge and were invited to do a 12-hour fasting blood test at an MUHC hospital site prior to the baseline screening day. Two weeks before the end of the challenge, participants were given a second requisition form, to have the blood tests repeated. Blood measures included total cholesterol, LDL and HDL cholesterol, triglycerides and fasting glucose. Results were sent to a physician from the McGill Cardiovascular Health Improvement Program.

Psychological
Psychological questionnaires were completed by the subjects at the pre- and post-screening.

- Fatigue. Participants completed the four-item general fatigue subscale of the multidimensional fatigue inventory (8) on a five-point Likert scale. Cronbach’s alpha was 0.80 at both baseline and post-programme.

- Insomnia. Participants completed the seven-item insomnia severity index (9). This questionnaire evaluates insomnia severity on the basis of difficulty falling asleep, difficulty staying asleep at night, difficulty waking up in the morning and daytime impairment. Items are scored on a four-point Likert scale. Cronbach’s alpha was 0.89 at baseline and 0.85 at the end of the programme.

- Stress. Participants completed the 10-item perceived stress scale (10;11) at both measurement points. Sample item is “In the last month, how often have you been upset because of something that happened unexpectedly?”. Cronbach’s alpha was 0.88 at baseline and 0.89 at the end of the programme.

- Worklife. This questionnaire was completed both at baseline and at post-programme. Recently validated in both French and English (12), the Worklife Pulse survey is a 21-item questionnaire developed by Accreditation Canada and the Ontario Hospital Association. This survey provides a quick snapshot of a healthcare organisation’s work environment and of employees’ physical and psychological well-being. The survey is composed of four subscales: work environment, health, work adjustment, and absenteeism/health-related presenteeism. Cronbach’s alpha varied between 0.64 and 0.92. All items are self-reported on Likert-type scales.

Behavioral (PA information)
Participants reported their daily steps on the website for the duration of the eight-week programme and were classified into pedometer-determined PA groups based on Tudor-Locke and Basset guidelines (13): sedentary participants were defined as those logging less than 5,000 steps per day, low active participants as those logging 5,000 to 7,499 steps per day, somewhat active participants as those logging 7,500 to 9,999 steps per day, active participants as those logging 10,000 to 12,499 steps per day and highly active participants as those logging more than 12,500 steps per day.

International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ)
Participants completed the short, self-administered format of the IPAQ for young and middle-aged adults (14) at both measurement points. This questionnaire is composed of questions assessing participants’ physical activity level over the previous seven days. Participants are asked to indicate on how many days they did vigorous and moderate intensity PA and the number of days on which they walked for at least ten minutes at a time. Participants are further required to specify for how long they performed these activities on a typical day. Finally, participants are asked to report how much time they spent sitting on a typical week day. Continuous variables are created for each level of PA by weighting reported minutes per week within each activity category by a MET energy expenditure estimate assigned to each category of activity (for a detailed description of the origin of the MET levels (14)). A total MET variable is also created. The reliability and validity of the IPAQ is well documented (14).

To detect a medium effect size (Cohen’s d of 0.5) with a statistical power of 0.80, it was estimated that a minimum total sample size of 130 participants was required. Given the longitudinal design of the study, the goal was to recruit at least twice this minimum total sample size at baseline (i.e., n=300).

Statistical analyses
Paired sample t-tests were conducted on the baseline and post-programme data to detect significant differences between the measurement points for the final sample. This analysis was preferred because it permits the detection of changes by comparing the exact same sample of participants at two measurement points. Furthermore, univariate analyses of covariance were conducted on the post-programme scores (while controlling for baseline scores) to detect significant differences in the study variables between pedometer-determined PA groups. All analyses were conducted with SPSS 20.0.
Ethics
Participants gave written consent to participate. The study was approved by the healthcare organisation’s research ethics committee (reference number 10-066-PSY).

Results
At baseline, 310 participants completed the pre-screening evaluation and 259 completed the blood test. During the pedometer programme, 76 participants were lost to attrition. At the end of the programme, 235 (75.5%) participants attended the post-screening; this sample size was large enough to confirm the statistical power of 0.80 (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>92.3% female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Average = 47.6 (SD = 9.1), range from 24 to 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Title</td>
<td>Nurses 21.8%, Technicians 12.7%, Professionals * 16.2%, Clerical services 25.8%, Managers 10.5%, Others ** 13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience</td>
<td>Average = 12.4 years (SD = 10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Full-time</td>
<td>87.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed daytime schedule</td>
<td>92.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takes blood-pressure medication</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial history of cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial history of diabetes</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* e.g., advisors, staff consultants, staff officers, analysts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** e.g., research assistant, coordinators, personal support workers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was found that a greater proportion of men failed to complete both measurements (38.7% of men and 20.2% of women completed only baseline measurements) and that participants who completed only the baseline measurements had higher stress scores (average = 16.1, SD = 7.0) than those who completed both measurements (average = 14.1, SD = 5.9, t(1, 307) = 4.32, p = 0.038).

Detailed paired-sample t-tests’ results are shown in Table 2.

Anthropometric
A significant decrease in participants’ weight and body mass index (BMI) was observed post-programme compared to baseline. Obese participants lost an average of 0.8 kg (t(51) = 2.14, p = 0.037).

Biomedical
A significant reduction in both systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure was observed. Participants’ total cholesterol also dropped significantly, which was primarily due to the significant decrease in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. In addition, significant improvements were also noted in participants who had hypertension or abnormal blood lipids (total cholesterol) at baseline.

Psychological
Participants reported significantly lower levels of fatigue post-programme compared to baseline. Of the participants who had a baseline score indicating severe fatigue (higher than the 75th percentile), nearly 54% could be classified as normal post-programme. Similarly, a significant decrease in insomnia was also noted. At baseline, 13.3% of the sample were classified as having insomnia of moderate severity or worse while at post-programme only 6% were classified at this level. Stress levels were also found to be significantly lower post-programme compared to baseline. Paired sample t-tests did not show any significant differences between baseline and post-intervention values for work environment, self-reported health, work adjustment or absenteeism/health-related presenteeism.

Behavioral
Participants’ total MET scores did not significantly change between baseline and post-programme. However, a significant decrease in time spent sitting was observed from baseline to post-programme. Furthermore, a significant increase was observed from baseline to post-programme in the number of days participants walked for at least ten minutes at a time.

On average, participants reported 12,428 steps per day (SD = 7,262). Table 3 shows the distribution of the participants in the pedometer-determined physical activity groups (13). Only 13.3% of participants were classified as low active or sedentary, and 54.5% were classified as either active or highly active.

ANCOVA showed significant differences in post-programme BMI between the pedometer-determined PA groups when controlling for baseline BMI (F(4,227) = 2.84, p = 0.025). BMI of the low active and the somewhat active groups were significantly higher than those of the active and highly active groups.

Similarly, significant differences in post-programme triglyceride were found (F(4,166) = 2.44, p = 0.049), where the post-programme triglyceride of the somewhat active group were significantly higher than those of the active...
Discussion

This study shows that the pedometer-based physical activity challenge had multiple positive health outcomes for a wide range of hospital employees. Specifically, behavioral, biomedical, anthropometric and psychological benefits were associated with the PA intervention. Hospital employees also exhibited significant changes in anthropometric measures, such as lower weight and body mass index, which is in line with previous studies (9;23;24;26). The present intervention also led to an in-

Table 2 Clinical measurements & questionnaire variables at baseline and post-program (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Post-program</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>72.40 ± 15.30</td>
<td>72.01 ± 15.04</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>87.42 ± 11.50</td>
<td>86.72 ± 12.33</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.80 ± 4.92</td>
<td>26.65 ± 4.81</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.21 ± 0.90</td>
<td>5.12 ± 0.89</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.43</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.15 ± 0.76</td>
<td>3.08 ± 0.74</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.78</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.62</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.12 ± 0.97</td>
<td>5.08 ± 0.78</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>116.66 ± 15.46</td>
<td>114.62 ± 14.13</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>76.77 ± 10.43</td>
<td>75.81 ± 9.41</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>11.70 ± 3.84</td>
<td>10.39 ± 3.64</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>7.70 ± 5.46</td>
<td>5.82 ± 4.48</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>20.56 ± 2.99</td>
<td>19.84 ± 3.03</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worklife Pulse: work environment</td>
<td>3.65 ± 0.75</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.77</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worklife Pulse: health</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.64</td>
<td>3.51 ± 0.67</td>
<td>-1.66</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worklife Pulse: work adjustment</td>
<td>3.78 ± 0.61</td>
<td>3.74 ± 0.64</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worklife Pulse: absenteeism/ presenteeism (total number of days)</td>
<td>6.59 ± 22.64</td>
<td>5.88 ± 18.00</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigorous activity MET</td>
<td>930.72 ± 1779.08</td>
<td>923.57 ± 1855.35</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate activity MET</td>
<td>628.47 ± 1716.29</td>
<td>600.63 ± 1102.35</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking MET</td>
<td>1407.50 ± 1938.21</td>
<td>1567.48 ± 1928.14</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MET score</td>
<td>2878.07 ± 3474.30</td>
<td>3041.86 ± 2914.56</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days walking 10min</td>
<td>5.40 ± 1.93</td>
<td>5.87 ± 1.59</td>
<td>-3.08</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting (min/week day)</td>
<td>417.16 ± 240.15</td>
<td>352.82 ± 189.36</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Results of the ANCOVAs (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sedentary</th>
<th>Low Active</th>
<th>Somewhat active</th>
<th>Active</th>
<th>Highly active</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 6</td>
<td>n = 38</td>
<td>n = 63</td>
<td>n = 71</td>
<td>n = 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-program BMI</td>
<td>31.29 ± 7.68</td>
<td>29.02 ± 6.05</td>
<td>27.66 ± 5.00</td>
<td>25.82 ± 4.67</td>
<td>25.49 ± 3.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-program triglyceride</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.54</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.78</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.39</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-program fatigue</td>
<td>13.30 ± 2.08</td>
<td>11.63 ± 3.82</td>
<td>11.40 ± 3.51</td>
<td>9.91 ± 3.94</td>
<td>9.54 ± 3.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and highly active groups when baseline triglyceride levels were accounted for.

Significant differences in post-programme fatigue scores were also found (F(4,228) = 2.76, p = 0.03). Specifically, fatigue scores of the low active group were significantly higher than those of the active and the highly active groups. See Table 3.
crease in moderate physical activity, a decrease in time spent sitting, improvements in biomedical outcomes (blood pressure and cholesterol levels), and psychological outcomes (lower stress level, less fatigue, and sleep problems). The present study was one of the few to look at the psychological impact of a pedometer-based PA challenge (27).

Over the last decade, a large number of studies in which a pedometer was used to assess PA in various populations (20-22) were published.

The pedometer has also been studied in workplace interventions for the promotion of PA (4). These studies demonstrate not only that a pedometer intervention can easily be incorporated into the workplace setting, but also that there are many benefits associated with such interventions, including behavioral, biomedical, psychological, and anthropometric benefits. For instance, some studies show that a greater number of daily steps correlates with a higher serum HDL cholesterol level and a lower ratio of total cholesterol to HDL cholesterol (21), with lower blood pressure (9) as well as with a decrease in BMI and waist circumference (9;23;26). However, there is little research that examines the impact of the pedometer on all these aspects simultaneously and few studies have investigated the psychological outcomes of a pedometer intervention. Furthermore very few have directly targeted hospital employees.

In a recent study (25), healthcare providers wore a pedometer and recorded their daily steps for 12 weeks which led to a reported increase of up to 200 minutes spent sitting, improvements in biomedical outcomes (lower stress level, less fatigue, and sleep problems). The present study was one of the few to look at the psychological impact of a pedometer-based PA challenge (27).

The study has few limitations as well. The most important being the absence of a control group. Another limitation is the length of the intervention: the programme only lasted eight weeks. Also, the majority of the participants are women. Further, more dropouts are men, who were also more stressed at baseline, which may influence the generalisation of the results. Social desirability biases may have led to exaggerated reports of physical activity. However, the study also includes objective data (e.g., blood pressure measurements and blood tests). Furthermore, selection bias may have led to a sample of already highly motivated participants. However, the positive outcomes observed were influenced by the programme and not by changes in work environments as none were detected between pre- and post-programme.

Practical implications
This study indicates that implementing a pedometer-based intervention within a hospital setting is an excellent initiative for improving the physical and psychological health of hospital employees at a low cost. Furthermore, motivation and satisfaction with the programme were very high. Considering the positive impact on stress, fatigue, and insomnia demonstrated, this study is also relevant for workplace mental health prevention and management strategies to improve employee well-being. In addition, patients, relatives and the community can also benefit from such programmes that encourage self-management, self-care, and a better quality of life.

This study supports the concept of building health promotion capacity in hospitals and health services as outlined by the WHO International Network of Health Promoting Hospitals and Health Services (30).

Future studies
Future studies should be carried out with subsamples of hospital employees, with a control group, and with longer longitudinal designs.

Results of the analysis conducted for drop-outs show that the drop-outs were more often men than women and also more stressed at baseline. Future research is needed in order to determine if the same changes could be observed in a sample of male with high levels of stress.

Conclusion
In sum, this study substantiates the positive effect of a

Strengths and limitations of the study
A strength of this study is the high participation rate (75.5%). The study is also among the few that looks at the benefits of a pedometer-based intervention for various categories of hospital employees and takes into consideration behavioral, biomedical, anthropometric, and psychological outcomes.
pedometer-based PA challenge in many spheres such as behavioral, biomedical, anthropometric, and psychologically. The study results underscore the importance of such interventions in the hospital setting and should provide incentive for other hospitals to introduce similar pedometer-based interventions to help keep their employees in good health physically and psychologically.
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